•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/771,232	ALBERT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ian N Moore	2661
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Ian N Moore</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Frame</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 November 2004	Time: <u>11:45</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	icant's representative)	
Part I.	i	
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1,9,16-18		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN typographical error in the declaration and in the claims	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. If of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a writt e record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
·		
·		
m N Muree		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	int/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)